



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
08/479,997	06/07/95	ENGELHARDT	D ENZ-5 (D6) (C2)

HM32/0329

RONALD C. FEDUS, ESQ.
ENZO BIOCHEM, INC.
527 MADISON AVENUE, 9TH FLOOR
NEW YORK NY 10022

EXAMINER

HOOTTEMAN, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1634

24

DATE MAILED:

03/29/99

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Interview Summary

Application No.
08/479,997

Applicant(s)
Engelhardt et al.

Examiner
Scott Houtteman

Group Art Unit
1634

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Scott Houtteman

(3) Mr. Engelhardt

(2) Mr. Fedus

(4) _____

Date of Interview Mar 23, 1999

Type: ☐ Telephonic ☒ Personal (copy is given to ☐ applicant ☒ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☒ Yes ☐ No. If yes, brief description:

Copy of a summary of "Gohlke's Chemistry" attached.

Agreement ☐ was reached. ☐ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: None

Identification of prior art discussed:

None

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:

Applicant's position is the prior artisans assumed that DNA could not be labeled at the phosphate because it would disrupt the ability of DNA to bind to complementary DNA. Applicants allegedly discovered that this disruption was only local and would not effect the binding function of the distal regions of the DNA.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.